



Low Intensity Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy for Female Stress Urinary Incontinence Using a Vaginal Probe: A Single-Blind, Randomized-Controlled Trial

Anna Padoa, Eyal Levy, Roni Tomashev, Anna Tsviban, Tal Fligelman

Urogynecology and Pelvic Floor Unit, Ob & Gyn Department Shamir Medical Center, Tel Aviv University, Israel



# Anna Padoa, MD



### Affiliations to disclose:

Hikkonu, Direx group- Study funding

Funding for speaker to attend:

Self-funded

Institution (non-industry) funded

Sponsored by: Hikkonu, Direx Group











### Low intensity extracorporeal low energy shock wave therapy (LiESWT)

- Clinical LiESWT (2000 to 3000 pulses in 0.20–0.25 mJ/mm2) induces tissue regeneration by:
  - Enhancement of wound healing
  - Promotion of angiogenesis
  - Reduced level of oxidative stress
  - Induction of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) release
  - Proliferation and differentiation of stem cells

• A vast body of evidence has proved LiESWT is effective in treating:

- Tendon-bone junction diseases,
- Ischemic cardiovascular disorders
- Skin wound healing
- Chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome (CP/ CPPS)
- Chronic injuries of soft tissues and
- Erectile dysfunction



Stress urinary incontinence

Sprague–Dawley/Zucker Lean rats Vaginal balloon dilation-induced SUI

Urodynamic studies: increased LPP

Immunohistochemical studies: Promotion of urethral myogenesis Increased urethral angiogenesis **Overactive bladder** 

Sprague–Dawley rats Ovariectomy-induced OAB

**Urodynamic studies:** significantly improved bladder storage function

Immunohistochemical studies: improved bladder damagesthicker layer of urothelium Reduction of interstitial fibrosis Detrusor underactivity

Sprague–Dawley rats Streptozotocin-induced DM

**Urodynamic studies:** enhanced bladder muscle contractile activity

Immunohistochemical studies: higher smooth muscle actin expression recovery of neuronal integrity and innervation





## Background – 3 Effect of LiESWT in female SUI: Clinical studies

#### Therapeutic effects of Low intensity extracorporeal low energy shock wave therapy (LiESWT) on stress urinary incontinence

Cheng-Yu Long<sup>1,2,3,4,11</sup>, Kun-Ling Lin<sup>® 1,4,11</sup>, Yung-Chin Lee<sup>5,6,7</sup>, Shu-Mien Chuang<sup>5,8</sup>, Jian-He Lu<sup>5,7</sup>, Bin-Nan Wu<sup>®</sup><sup>9</sup>, Kuang-Shun Chueh<sup>5,10</sup>, Chin-Ru Ker<sup>1</sup>, Mei-Chen Shen<sup>5</sup> & Yung-Shun Juan<sup>3,5,7,10\*</sup>

- Single arm, open-label, prospective
- 50 subjects, SUI with leakage urine ≥2 g on physical activity.
- LiESWT once a week for 8 wks, to the labia minora, 1 month follow-up
- DUOLITH SD1-TOP focused shock wave system (STORZ MEDICAL AG).

#### **Results:**

- Significant decrease in leaked urine amount on pad test:
  3.60±1.01 (p<0.01) and 0.89±0.31 (p<0.01)</li>
- Improved functional bladder capacity
- Improved scores on questionnaires: OAB-SS, ICIQ, UDI-6, IIQ-7

#### Low Intensity Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy as a Novel Treatment for Stress Urinary Incontinence: A Randomized-Controlled Clinical Study

Kun-Ling Lin <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Kuang-Shun Chueh <sup>1,4,5</sup>, Jian-He Lu <sup>6</sup>, Shu-Mien Chuang <sup>4,7</sup>, Bin-Nan Wu <sup>8</sup>, Yung-Chin Lee <sup>4,7,9</sup>, Yi-Hsuan Wu <sup>1,4,7</sup>, Mei-Chen Shen <sup>4,7</sup>, Ting-Wei Sun <sup>4,7</sup>, Cheng-Yu Long <sup>2,9,10,\*</sup> and Yung-Shun Juan <sup>1,4,5,7,\*</sup>

- Multicenter, single-blind, sham-controlled RCT
- 60 subjects, SUI or SUI-predominant MUI>3 months
- LiESWT once a week for 8 wks, to the labia minora, 6 months followup
- DUOLITH SD1-TOP focused shock wave system (STORZ MEDICAL AG).

#### **Results:**

- Pad test: No change in sham vs significant in LiESWT. (p=0.004)
- Improved scores in ICIQ-SF (p = 0.034), UDI-6 (p = 0.040), and IIQ-7 (p = 0.048), no change in OAB-SS
- 84.5% of patients had >50% improvement at 6 months in study group





אוניברסיטת תל-אביב דפן אוניברסיטת אוניברסיע אוניברטיע אוניברסיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניברסיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניברטיע אוניבר

The objective of the study was to assess safety and efficacy of LiESWT using a novel *trans-vaginal probe* for SUI and sexual function (SF).





# Methods – 1

המרכז הרפואי שמיר

### Single-blind, randomized-controlled trial

IRB approval, informed consent

Women with mild to moderate SUI were randomly assigned to

- LiESWT with 0.1 mJ/mm<sup>2</sup> intensity, 1600 pulses, twice weekly for 4 weeks
- LiESWT was delivered for a total of 16 minutes towards 4 points below the urethra, by rotating the probe every 4 minutes by approximately 20 degrees
- Sham treatment, without energy transmission.
- Treatment:sham ratio- 2:1

Both were administered by a vaginal probe (MoreNova<sup>FEM</sup>, Hikkonu Medical Systems Ltd, Ramat Hasharon, Israel), designed to deliver the pulses towards the peri-urethral tissue





# Methods-2



#### **Inclusion criteria:**

- Age 20-75
- SUI diagnosis according to history and positive coughstress test
- PVR < 50 cc

#### **Exclusion criteria:**

- Pregnancy or breastfeeding
- •Severe SUI (1-hour pad test >50 grams)
- •POP beyond the hymen
- •MUI with a predominant urgency component (UDI-6 questions 1 or 2 scoring 3 or 4)
- History of vaginal fistula; perineal tear grade 4; CPP; GPPPD; genital HSV; active STI; IBD; psychiatric conditions preventing informed consent; therapies affecting bladder function





המרכז הרפואי שמיר

Efficacy at 1 month and 6 months post-treatment was evaluated using:

Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I)

Changes from baseline in scores of:

- International Consultation on Incontinence, Short Form (ICI-Q-SF)
- Urinary Distress Inventory (UDI-6)
- Incontinence Impact Questionnaire (IIQ-7)
- Cough-stress test
- 1-hour pad test.

SF was evaluated by the Pelvic Organ Prolapse/Urinary Incontinence Sexual Questionnaire, IUGA-Revised (PISQ-IR).









### Table 1- Background data

Variables	Sham (N=9)	Treatment (N=18)	P Value	
Age (Y)	46.4±9.8	50.1±8.6	0.3404	
BMI	27.3±4.4	25.1±3.7	0.1729	
Diabetes	0	2 (11.1)	0.1729	
Hypertension	2 (22.2)	2 (11.1)	0.5815	
Smoking	0	1 (5.6)	1.0000	
Parity	3.0 (2.0–3.0)	3.0 (2.0–3.0)	0.8066	
Post-menopausal	4 (44.4)	7 (38.9)	1.0000	
HRT	0	1 (5.6)	1.0000	
Vaginal Estrogen	0	2 (11.1)	0.5385	

Pain visual analogue scale (VAS) at treatment n. 8 was  $0.6\pm1.0$  and  $0.1\pm0.3$ , p=0.2146.



- Both at the 1-month and 6-month follow-up, significantly greater improvement in IIQ-7 scores was observed in the treatment group compared to sham.
- At the 6 month- follow-up, we observed a greater improvement in the one-hour pad test in the treatment group, with borderline statistical significance -1.9±3.8 grams vs. 0.5±3.5 grams, respectively (p=0.055)
- Changes in urinary and sexual function were otherwise similar between groups
- Possible procedure-related adverse events were mild, and patients fully recovered: spotting (2 women, study group), UTI (1- study group, 1- sham), bacterial vaginosis (1- study group)

					4	216-21110-01
	1-month follow-up			6-mo	TEL AVIV UNIVERS	
Variables	Sham	Treatment	Р	Sham	Treatment	Р
	(N=9)	(N=18)	Value	(N=9)	(N=18)	Value
cough-stress test			>0.99			0.657
status	0 (00 7)	10 (00 7)		7 (77 0)	0 (04 0)	
- No change	6 (66.7)	10 (66.7)		7 (77.8)	9 (64.3)	
- Negative at baseline and positive at follow-up	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)		0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	
- Positive at baseline and negative at follow-up	3 (33.3)	5 (33.3)		2 (22.2)	5 (35.7)	
change in 1-hour pad test			0.8505			0.0552
Nmiss (%)	1 (11.1)	2 (11.1)		1 (11.1)	4 (22.2)	
Mean±SD	-1.4±4.4	-0.3±3.4		0.5±3.5	-1.9±3.8	
Min-Max	-11.0-4.0	-4.0-11.0		-2.0-9.0	-12.0-6.0	
Median (IQR)	-0.5 (-2.0-0.5)	-1.0 (-1.5–0.0)		-0.5 (-1.0-0.0)	-1.0 (-3.01.0)	
change in ICI-Q		. ,	0.3484	, ,	, ,	0.6448
Mean±SD	-2.7±1.9	-3.8±3.0		-3.1±3.0	-3.6±3.4	
Min-Max	-7.0-1.0	-11.0-0.0		-9.0-1.0	-11.0-2.0	
Median (IQR)	-2.0 (-3.0-1.0)	-3.5 (-5.02.0)		-3.0 (-4.0-1.0)	-4.0 (-6.0-1.0)	
change in UDI-6			0.9794			0.4997
Mean±SD	-11.9±15.6	-11.2±14.3		-8.7±15.3	-7.1±14.5	
Min-Max	-46.0-5.0	-46.0-8.0		-33.0-25.0	-33.0-25.0	
Median (IQR)	-8.0 (-20.0-	-10.5 (-17.0–0.0)		-10.0 (-13.0	-8.0 (-16.0	
change in IIQ-7	0.0)		0.0239	0.0)	2.0)	0.0459
Mean±SD	-1.0±7.3	-15.9±25.8		-3.1±9.6	-15.6±23.9	
Min-Max	-10.2-15.0	-100.0-23.9		-19.0-14.6	-95.3-9.6	
Median (IQR)	0.0 (-4.7–0.0)	-12.0 (-19.1		0.0 (-4.7–0.0)	-9.5 (-19.1	
change in PISQ-IR		0.1)	0.854		4.0)	0.0644
Nmiss (%)	1 (11.1)	2 (11.1)		1 (11.1)	5 (27.8)	
Mean+SD	0.1±0.3	0.1±0.3		0.2+0.3	0.0+0.2	
Min-Max	-0.4-0.5	-0.7-0.6		-0.2-0.6	-0.3-0.4	
Median (IOR)	0.1(-0.2-0.4)	0.1(-0.0-0.2)		0.3(0.1-0.4)	0.0(0.0-0.1)	
PGI-I at follow-up	5 ( 5.2 - 0.4)	0.1 ( 0.0 0.2)	>0.99	0.0 (0.1-0.4)	0.0 (0.0 0.1)	>0.99
Improvement (1-2)	1 (14.3)	4 (25.0)		3 (33.3)	5 (29.4)	
No change (3-5)	6 (85.7)	12 (75.0)		6 (66.7)	12 (70.6)	
Worsening (6-7)	0(00)	0(00)		0(00)	0(0,0)	
	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	



### 1-month follow-up





### 6-month follow-up

-5

Treatment

Arms

Improvement Worsening

Sham

אוניברסיטת תל-אביב דפן אוניברסיטת אוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטת אוניברסיטת אוניברסיטת אוניברסיטת אוניברסיטת אוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעונינגרסטעוניברסיטמעוניברסיטמעונינגרסיטמעונינגרסטטעונגרטטעונגרטטעונגרטטעונגרטטעו



P-value=0.0644

Arms

🔲 Improvement 🔲 Worsening

Sham

Treatment

-0.5





We have shown that LiESWT is feasible and well tolerated by women, causing no discomfort or pain



The treatment has proved safe on 6-months follow-up, with few and mild procedure-related adverse events



At the 6-months follow-up, we observed a greater improvement in the amount of urine leakage in the treatment arm, assessed by the 1-hour pad test



We also observed a greater improvement in the impact of SUI on quality of life in the treatment arm 1 month and 6 months following treatment completion, as reflected by a significant decrease in IIQ-7 scores



המרכז הרפואי שמיר

## We wish to thank Dr Howard Goldman for advice finalizing the study protocol A huge thanks to the team of the pelvic floor service at the Shamir Medical Center

### Thank you for your attention! Questions?

